



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

**CERTIFIED MAIL**  
**RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

**FEB 22 2017**

Jody Young

Wellington, FL 33414

RE: MUR 6639  
Gary Johnson 2012, Inc.

Dear Ms. Young:

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on September 6, 2012, concerning Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. and its treasurer (the "Committee"). On April 28, 2016, the Commission found that there was reason to believe the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3 by failing to disclose \$447,567 in debts and obligations, and reason to believe the Committee violated 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e) by using general election contributions for primary election expenses. On the same date, the Commission dismissed the allegation that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3 by failing to properly itemize disbursements and debts. On February 3, 2017, the Commission accepted a conciliation agreement signed by the Committee and closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy, Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). A copy of the conciliation agreement is enclosed for your information. In addition, a copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis is enclosed.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

*Christine C. Gallagher*

Christine C. Gallagher  
Attorney

Enclosures  
Conciliation Agreement  
Factual and Legal Analysis

17044410570

1 **BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

2  
3 In the matter of )

4 )  
5 Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. and )  
6 Christina Needham in her official capacity )  
7 as treasurer )

RECEIVED  
FEC MAIL CENTER  
MAY 21 AM 7:26

8  
9 **CONCILIATION AGREEMENT**

10 This matter was initiated pursuant to a Complaint and information ascertained by the  
11 Federal Election Commission ("Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its  
12 supervisory responsibilities. The Commission found reason to believe that Gary Johnson 2012,  
13 Inc. and its treasurer in his official capacity as treasurer ("Respondents") violated 52 U.S.C.  
14 § 30104(b), 11 C.F.R. § 104.3, and 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e).

15 NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and the Respondents, having participated in  
16 informal methods of conciliation, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, do hereby agree  
17 as follows:

18 I. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Respondents and the subject matter of this  
19 proceeding, and this agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 52 U.S.C.  
20 § 30109(a)(4)(A)(i).

21 II. Respondents have had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action should  
22 be taken in this matter.

23 III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this agreement with the Commission.

24 IV. The pertinent facts in this matter are as follows:

25 1. Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. is the principal campaign committee of Gary Johnson  
26 a 2012 presidential candidate. Christina Needham is Gary Johnson 2012, Inc.'s treasurer of  
27 record.

28 2. The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") requires  
29 political committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

MAY 21 PM 3:32

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION

170501444071

1 provisions of 52 U.S.C. § 30104. 52 U.S.C. §§ 30104(a)(1), 30104(b)(2)-(7); 11 C.F.R.  
2 §§ 104.1(a), 104.3(a)-(c). The reports also must include the amount and nature of outstanding  
3 debts and obligations owed by or to the political committee. 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R.  
4 § 104.3(d).

5 3. The Act requires treasurers to keep an account of all contributions received by  
6 a political committee. 52 U.S.C. § 30102(c). Commission regulations permit a candidate's  
7 committee to receive contributions for the general election prior to the primary election provided  
8 the committee employs an acceptable accounting method to distinguish between primary and  
9 general election contributions. 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(1). The committee's records must  
10 demonstrate that prior to the primary election, the committee's recorded cash-on-hand was at all  
11 times equal to or in excess of the sum of general election contributions received less the sum of  
12 general election disbursements made. *Id.* § 102.9(e)(2).

13 4. Respondents failed to disclose \$447,567 in debts and obligations owed to nine  
14 vendors on Schedule D.

15 5. Respondents deposited \$22,396 in general election contributions in Gary  
16 Johnson 2012, Inc.'s primary election account, and then made primary election expenses from  
17 this account. Beginning on February 21, 2012, the committee did not have sufficient primary  
18 election contributions to cover its primary election expenses, and accordingly spent \$12,396 in  
19 general election contributions for primary election expenses.

20 6. Respondents contend that the resulting violations of the Act and Commission  
21 regulations were inadvertent.

22 V. 1. Respondents violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3 by failing  
23 to disclose \$447,567 in debts and obligations.

1                   2. Respondents violated 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e) by using general election  
2 contributions for primary election expenses.

3           VI.    1. In ordinary circumstances, the Commission would seek a substantially higher  
4 civil penalty based on the violation outlined in the agreement. However, the Commission is  
5 taking into account the fact that the Committee is defunct, has very little cash on hand, and  
6 according to Respondents has a limited ability to raise additional funds. In light of these factors,  
7 Respondents will pay a civil penalty to the Commission in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars  
8 (\$10,000) pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(A).

9                   2. Respondents will cease and desist in committing violations of 52 U.S.C.  
10 § 30104(b), 11 C.F.R. § 104.3, and 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e).

11           VIII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 52 U.S.C.  
12 § 30109(a)(1) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review  
13 compliance with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any  
14 requirement thereof has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States  
15 District Court for the District of Columbia.

16           IX. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have  
17 executed the same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.

18           X. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the date this agreement becomes  
19 effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this agreement and to so  
20 notify the Commission.

21           XI. This conciliation agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on  
22 the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral,

UNOFFICIAL

1 made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained within this written  
2 agreement shall be enforceable.

3 FOR THE COMMISSION:

4  
5 Kathleen M. Guith  
6  
7 Kathleen M. Guith  
8 ~~Acting~~ Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

2/22/17  
Date

9  
10  
11 FOR THE RESPONDENTS:

12  
13 Christina Needham  
14  
15 Name: Christina Needham  
16 Position: Treasurer

2/14/17  
Date

4/25/01-4444071

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

**FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

**RESPONDENTS:** Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. and Joseph Lilly  
in his official capacity as treasurer<sup>1</sup>

**MUR 6639**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") and information ascertained by the Commission in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. The Complaint alleges that Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. and Joseph Lilly in his official capacity as treasurer ("Gary Johnson 2012") violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, (the "Act") by failing to properly disclose disbursements and debts owed to an entity called "Political Advisors" of Salt Lake City in its 2012 June, July, and August Monthly Reports.<sup>2</sup> The Complaint further alleges that Gary Johnson 2012 failed to specify whether the reports themselves or the disbursements on those reports were for the primary or general election, despite having reported receiving contributions designated for the general election.<sup>3</sup> The Audit Division also referred Gary Johnson 2012 to the Office of the General Counsel for possible enforcement action regarding: (1) the use of general election contributions for primary election expenses; and (2) the failure to report a total of \$447,567 in debts and obligations.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> On July 6, 2015, Gary Johnson 2012, Inc. filed an Amended Statement of Organization naming Joseph Lilly as its new treasurer. See Amended Statement of Organization at 1 (July 6, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> Compl. at 1-3.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> See Referral at 1-7.

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

64-101440-1

1 "Advertising [sic], Canidate [sic] Travel, Media Buys, Advisory Services," "Media, Travel,  
2 Advertising and Advisory Service – Primary," "Travel, Media, Advertising [sic]," and "Travel,  
3 Media, Advertising, and Advisory" on Schedule D.<sup>8</sup>

4 The Complaint in MUR 6639 alleges that Gary Johnson 2012 did not properly disclose  
5 the disbursements and debts owed to "Political Advisors" in its 2012 June, July, and August  
6 Monthly Reports because it reported multiple purposes for each disbursement or debt.<sup>9</sup> On  
7 February 11, 2013, the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent Gary Johnson 2012 Requests for  
8 Additional Information ("RFAs") inquiring about those disbursement descriptions.<sup>10</sup> The RFAs  
9 requested that the committee amend its reports to clarify the descriptions listed above.<sup>11</sup>

10 On February 25, 2013, Gary Johnson 2012 amended the reports in question to disclose  
11 additional debts and obligations owed to Political Advisors.<sup>12</sup> These debts appear to correspond  
12 to the previously reported disbursements to Political Advisors. For each itemized debt reported  
13 on Schedule D, however, Gary Johnson 2012 continued to report multiple purposes. For  
14 example, on its Amended 2012 June Monthly Report, the committee reported a new \$112,937  
15 debt to Political Advisors for "Staff Hours – Mid-Level, Senior Political Advsiors [sic], Creative  
16 Advertising, Campain [sic] Consult."<sup>13</sup> The committee included a memo entry for each itemized

---

<sup>8</sup> See *id.* at 2.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

<sup>10</sup> See 2012 June Monthly Report RFAI (Feb. 11, 2013); 2012 July Monthly Report RFAI (Feb. 11, 2013); 2012 August Monthly Report RFAI (Feb. 11, 2013).

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> See Amended 2012 June Monthly Report (Feb. 25, 2013); Amended 2012 July Monthly Report (Feb. 25, 2013); Amended 2012 August Monthly Report (Feb. 25, 2013).

<sup>13</sup> See Amended 2012 June Monthly Report at 81 (Feb. 25, 2013).



1 debt that provided a more detailed breakdown of each invoice that accounted for the debt.<sup>14</sup>  
2 However, the committee also amended its previously reported disbursements on Schedule D to  
3 change the purposes to "Payment on obligation."<sup>15</sup>

4 The Act and Commission regulations require political committees to itemize  
5 disbursements and debts, and, for each disbursement and debt, provide information including a  
6 brief description of the purpose of the disbursement or the nature of the debt.<sup>16</sup> Descriptions,  
7 when considered along with the identity of the disbursement recipient, must be sufficiently  
8 specific to make the purpose of the disbursement clear.<sup>17</sup> The Commission has noted in its  
9 Statement of Policy regarding purpose of disbursement entries that a disbursement to a vendor  
10 for something like "consulting" would be inadequate unless the vendor's name included the  
11 specific type of consulting that the vendor engaged in, such as "Smith Fundraising Consulting,  
12 Inc."<sup>18</sup> Examples of inadequate purposes listed in the Commission's Statement of Policy include  
13 "Consulting Service," "Compensation," and "Invoice."<sup>19</sup>

14 The disbursements and debts on the three original reports addressed in the Complaint —  
15 and on all Gary Johnson 2012's reports through the 2012 Year-End Report — were reviewed in  
16 the Title 26 audit. The Final Audit Report did not include any finding related to the ultimate  
17 payee or purpose description of disbursements and debts to Political Advisors. Some purpose

---

<sup>14</sup> See *id.* at 82.

<sup>15</sup> See *id.* at 72-75.

<sup>16</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(3)-(4), (d).

<sup>17</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(3)-(4); "Purpose of Disbursement" Entries for Filings with the Commission, 72 Fed. Reg. 887 (Jan. 9, 2007).

<sup>18</sup> 72 Fed. Reg. at 888.

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

1 descriptions, standing alone, appear to have been inadequate (e.g., "advisory service,"  
2 "advisory," and "payment on obligation"), but they were combined with purpose descriptions  
3 that appear to have been adequate (e.g., "media buys," "advertising," and "candidate travel").  
4 In light of the corrective action taken during the Audit and in response to RFAs, the  
5 Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation that Gary Johnson  
6 2012 violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3 by failing to properly itemize  
7 disbursements and debts.<sup>20</sup>

8 **B. Failure to Report Debts and Obligations**

9 The Complaint in MUR 6639 alleges that Gary Johnson 2012 failed to report any  
10 indebtedness to Political Advisors on its 2012 June and August Monthly Reports.<sup>21</sup> As set forth  
11 in the Final Audit Report, the Commission concluded that Gary Johnson 2012 failed to disclose  
12 \$447,567 in debts owed to nine vendors on Schedule D.<sup>22</sup> Of this amount, \$300,000 was a debt  
13 owed to Political Advisors<sup>23</sup> for a bonus after Johnson received the Libertarian Party nomination.  
14 According to the audit finding, Gary Johnson 2012 reported half of the \$300,000 debt when it  
15 was invoiced in December 2012, but, per the contract, the entire debt was incurred on May 4,  
16 2012, and accordingly should have been reported on the committee's 2012 June Monthly

<sup>20</sup> See *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985); see also Statement of Policy Regarding Commission Action in Matters at the Initial Stage in the Enforcement Process, 72 Fed. Reg. 12,545, 12,546 (Mar. 16, 2007) (noting that the Commission will dismiss a matter when the matter does not merit further use of Commission resources).

<sup>21</sup> Compl. at 1-3.

<sup>22</sup> Final Audit Report at 22-25.

<sup>23</sup> The Final Audit Report refers to this entity as "NSON," which is the corporation listed on the committee's contracts and invoices. The Final Audit Report notes that NSON also does business as Political Advisors. *Id.* at 6 n.7. The committee reported all disbursements and debts to Political Advisors, not NSON. *Id.*

Report.<sup>24</sup> In response to the audit, Gary Johnson 2012 filed amendments that materially corrected the omissions.<sup>25</sup>

The Act requires committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 52 U.S.C. § 30104.<sup>26</sup> The reports also must include the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by or to the political committee.<sup>27</sup> Accordingly, because it failed to disclose \$447,567 in debts and obligations as described above, the Commission finds reason to believe that Gary Johnson 2012 violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3.

**C. Use of General Election Contributions for Primary Election Expenses**

The Complaint in MUR 6639 alleges that Gary Johnson 2012 failed to disclose whether its disbursements were for the primary or general election, despite having reported receiving contributions designated for the general election.<sup>28</sup> In the audit, the Commission found that Gary Johnson 2012 spent \$12,396 in contributions designated for the general election on primary election expenses before the primary election date.<sup>29</sup> As described in the Final Audit Report, the committee deposited \$22,396 in general election contributions in its primary election account, and then made primary election expenses from this account.<sup>30</sup> Beginning on February 21, 2012,

---

<sup>24</sup> *Id.* at 22-25.

<sup>25</sup> *Id.*

<sup>26</sup> 52 U.S.C. §§ 30104(a)(1), 30104(b)(2)-(7); 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.1(a), 104.3(a)-(c).

<sup>27</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d).

<sup>28</sup> Compl. at 1-3.

<sup>29</sup> Final Audit Report at 20-22.

<sup>30</sup> *Id.*

170744-101080

1 the committee did not have sufficient primary election contributions to cover its primary election  
2 expenses, and accordingly spent \$12,396 in general election contributions for primary election  
3 expenses.<sup>31</sup>

4 The Act requires treasurers to keep an account of all contributions received by a political  
5 committee.<sup>32</sup> Commission regulations permit a candidate's committee to receive contributions  
6 for the general election prior to the primary election provided the committee employs an  
7 acceptable accounting method to distinguish between primary and general election  
8 contributions.<sup>33</sup> The committee's records must demonstrate that prior to the primary election, the  
9 committee's recorded cash-on-hand was at all times equal to or in excess of the sum of general  
10 election contributions received less the sum of general election disbursements made.<sup>34</sup> The  
11 Respondents argue that the audit finding applies an unreasonably strict reading of 11 C.F.R.  
12 § 102.9(e)(2), and that the funds were essentially a short-term loan between accounts to cover  
13 operating expenses.<sup>35</sup> However, the Final Audit Report correctly rejects these arguments.  
14 Because Gary Johnson 2012 used general election contributions for primary election expenses as  
15 described above, the Commission finds reason to believe that Gary Johnson 2012 violated  
16 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e).

---

<sup>31</sup> *Id.*

<sup>32</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30102(c).

<sup>33</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(1).

<sup>34</sup> *Id.* § 102.9(e)(2).

<sup>35</sup> See AR 15-06 Resp. at 1.

17044407